

Faulk, Camilla

From: Kathi Smoke-Blundell [smoke_blundell@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 9:23 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: APR 28 Limited Practice for Legal Technicians

Ms. Faulk:

I would like to endorse the proposed rule allowing legal technicians to offer services. I have been a paralegal for decades, have a Bachelor's degree, and am quite able to work independently. Currently have s-corp, contracting with attorneys on personal injury cases. Having worked for Tom Chambers, Jan Peterson, Gary Williams, Murray Kliest, etc., etc., I can assure you that I am more versed in this area of the law than many attorneys, and am qualified to access claims, negotiate settlements, handle subrogation, and much more.

Kathi Smoke-Blundell

Express your personality in color! Preview and select themes for Hotmail®. [See how.](#)

Faulk, Camilla

From: Kathi Smoke-Blundell [smoke_blundell@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 9:43 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: APR 28

Proposed rule should be broader. Agreed that family law can be, and usually is, handled by a paralegal (aka legal tech), however, there are many other areas of law that can also be handled by a paralegal, and usually are. Personally have specialized in and handled family law and personal injury. If insurance companies can hire adjusters off the street, with God knows what credentials, if any, the public should be able to hire qualified "legal tech" to do the same.

Kathi Smoke-Blundell
Paralegal

Quick access to Windows Live and your favorite MSN content with [Internet Explorer 8](#).